arXiv:1607.01303v2 [math.NT] 18 Jan 2017

OBSTRUCTIONS TO THE HASSE PRINCIPLE IN FAMILIES

MARTIN BRIGHT

Abstract. For a family of varieties over a number field, we give conditions under which 100% of members have no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle.

1. Introduction

In this article, we study the Hasse principle and the Brauer–Manin obstruction to it, in the context of a family of varieties. We begin by briefly recalling these concepts; for more details, see [12]. Let Y be a smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible variety over a number field k. Let $\mathbf{A}_k$ denote the ring of adèles of k. A necessary condition for Y to have a k-rational point is that Y have points over every completion of k; equivalently, that $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$ be non-empty. A class $\mathcal{C}$ of varieties is said to satisfy the *Hasse principle* if, for varieties in $\mathcal{C}$, this necessary condition is also sufficient: in other words, for all $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the implication $Y(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Y(k) \neq \emptyset$ holds. The class $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies *weak approximation* if, for $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the diagonal image of $Y(k)$ is dense in $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$.

Not all varieties do satisfy the Hasse principle, and Manin [9] used the Brauer group to explain many such counterexamples to the Hasse principle. Let $\operatorname{Br} Y = \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})$ denote the Brauer group of the variety Y. Consider the diagonal embedding of $Y(k)$ into $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$. Manin observed that the image of this embedding must be contained in the subset

$$Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \big\{(x_v) \in Y(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \sum_v \operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(x_v) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Br} Y\big\}.$$

Here $\operatorname{inv}_v \colon \operatorname{Br} k_v \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the local invariant map, and the sum is over all places of k. If $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ is empty, then $Y(k)$ is also empty. If in addition $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is non-empty, then we say that there is a *Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle* on Y. Whenever $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ differs from $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$, we say that there is a *Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation* on Y. By restricting $\mathcal{A}$ to lie in a subset $B \subset \operatorname{Br} Y$, we can also talk about an obstruction coming from B. Since the quantity $\sum_v \operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(x_v)$ only depends on the class of $\mathcal{A}$ modulo $\operatorname{Br} k$, we can also talk about the obstruction coming from a given subset of $\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$. The set $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ is effectively computable in many situations of interest. We say that *the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle* for a class $\mathcal{C}$ of varieties if, for all varieties $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the implication $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Y(k) \neq \emptyset$ holds. There are varieties for which the Brauer–Manin obstruction is not the only one: the first example was given by Skorobogatov [13]. But it has been conjectured by Colliot-Thélène [4, p. 319] that the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle for geometrically rational varieties. This conjecture is far from being proved apart from in a few very specific cases; however,

it supports the idea that studying the Brauer–Manin obstruction is a useful proxy for studying the existence of rational points on varieties.

Experience with examples of Brauer–Manin obstructions seems to show that it is much easier to construct counterexamples to weak approximation that to construct counterexamples to the Hasse principle. Indeed, given a variety Y with non-trivial Brauer group, one "usually" expects there to be an obstruction to weak approximation on Y but no obstruction to the Hasse principle. This article can be seen as an attempt to make this heuristic precise.

A useful example to keep in mind is that of diagonal cubic surfaces. Colliot-Thélène, Kanevsky and Sansuc proved the following:

Theorem ([5], corollary to Proposition 2)**.** *Let V be the cubic surface over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by the equation*

$$ax^3 + by^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0,$$

where a, b, c, d are non-zero cube-free integers. If there is a prime number dividing precisely one of a, b, c, d, then there is no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle on V.

One checks that the condition holds for 100% of $(a : b : c : d) \in \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{Q})$. The method of proof, at least for generic V satisfying the condition of the theorem, is as follows. The authors firstly calculate that $\operatorname{Br} V/\operatorname{Br}\mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{Pic}\bar{V})$ has order 3. Letting $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Br} V$ be a generator for $\operatorname{Br} V/\operatorname{Br}\mathbb{Q}$, and taking $p \neq 3$ to be the prime number of the theorem, they show that the resulting evaluation map $V(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \operatorname{Br}\mathbb{Q}_p$ surjects onto $\operatorname{Br}\mathbb{Q}_p[3]$. From there, it is easy to deduce the absence of a Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle, and indeed the presence of an obstruction to weak approximation. (The case $p = 3$ is rather different and is treated separately; but 100% of varieties in the family satisfy the condition with $p \neq 3$.)

In [3], we studied weak approximation in families of varieties. Theorem 1.6 of that article considers a family of varieties defined by a morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^m$, and gives sufficient conditions under which 100% of locally soluble members of the family have a Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation. In this article, we extend the method of [3] to prove a corresponding result for the Hasse principle: that, in a suitable family of varieties, 100% have no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle. The main technical challenge involved in extending the method is that, to give an obstruction to weak approximation, one only has to consider a single element of the Brauer group; to prove the absence of an obstruction to the Hasse principle, one must consider the whole Brauer group.

Denote by $\bar{k}$ an algebraic closure of the number field k. The *algebraic Brauer group* of a variety Y is the subgroup $\operatorname{Br}_1 Y = \ker(\operatorname{Br} Y \to \operatorname{Br}\bar{Y})$, where $\bar{Y}$ denotes the base change of Y to $\bar{k}$. Thus $\operatorname{Br}_1 Y$ consists of those elements that become trivial over $\bar{k}$. In this article we are principally interested in algebraic Brauer groups (though the main technical results, in Section 4, apply more generally). The reason for this is that we can use a result of Harari [7] to show that the algebraic Brauer group is the same for "most" varieties in a family.

We would like to construct Brauer group elements on the varieties in a family by restricting from the generic fibre. However, as was shown by Uematsu [14], this is not always possible: in the family of diagonal cubic surfaces, a general element has non-trivial algebraic Brauer group, whereas the generic fibre has trivial

algebraic Brauer group. To avoid this problem, we make use of the isomorphism $\mathrm{Br}_1 Y/\mathrm{Br}\, k \cong \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}\, \bar{Y})$ which exists whenever Y is a smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible variety over a number field k. Instead of specialising elements of the Brauer group of the generic fibre, we specialise elements of the corresponding cohomology group, which is $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}\, X_{\bar{\eta}})$ in the notation of Theorem 1.1 below.

In order to count points, we need a notion of height. For each finite place v of the number field k, let $\|\cdot\|_v$ be the corresponding normalised absolute value on k_v, that is, the absolute value satisfying $\|\pi\|_v = 1/q$ where π is a uniformiser in k_v and q is the size of the residue field at v. If v is a real place, then define $\|\cdot\|_v$ to be the usual real absolute value on k_v; is v is a complex place, define $\|\cdot\|_v$ to be the square of the usual complex absolute value. For a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^m(k)$, we denote by $H(P)$ the exponential height of P, defined as follows: choose coordinates $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n]$ for P; then

$$H(P) = \prod_v \max\{\|x_0\|_v, \ldots, \|x_n\|_v\},$$

where the product is over all places v of k.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a number field and let $\pi\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^m_k$ be a flat, surjective morphism of finite type, with X smooth, projective and geometrically integral. Let $\eta\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \to \mathbb{P}^m_k$ denote the generic point and $\bar{\eta}\colon \operatorname{Spec} \bar{K} \to \mathbb{P}^m_k$ a geometric point above η. Suppose that the geometric generic fibre $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is connected and has torsion-free Picard group. Denote by $\bar{X}$ the base change of X to an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k. Assume the following hypotheses:*

(1) $X(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$;
(2) the fibre of π at each codimension-1 point of $\mathbb{P}^m_k$ is geometrically integral;
(3) the fibre of π at each codimension-2 point of $\mathbb{P}^m_k$ has a geometrically reduced component;
(4) $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}\, \bar{X}) = 0$;
(5) $\mathrm{Br}\, \bar{X} = 0$;
(6) $\mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathrm{Pic}\, \mathbb{P}^m_{\bar{k}}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathrm{Pic}\, \bar{X})$ is injective.

Denote by $U \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k$ the open subset over which the fibre of π are smooth. Then, for 100% of rational points $P \in U(k) \cap \pi(X(\mathbf{A}_k))$, the image of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}\, X_{\bar{\eta}})$ in $\mathrm{Br}\, X_P/\mathrm{Br}\, k$ gives no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle on X_P.

The conclusion of the theorem should be interpreted as follows: let T denote the set $U(k) \cap \pi(X(\mathbf{A}_k))$, and let $T' \subset T$ be the subset of those $P \in T$ for which the image of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}\, X_{\bar{\eta}})$ in $\mathrm{Br}\, X_P/\mathrm{Br}\, k$ gives no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle on X_P. Then

$$\limsup_{B\to\infty} \frac{\#\{P \in (T \setminus T') \mid H(P) \leq B\}}{\#\{P \in T \mid H(P) \leq B\}} = 0.$$

Note that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, a positive proportion of the fibres X_P are everywhere locally soluble: see [3, Theorem 1.3].

Remark 1.2. The requirement that π be flat is rather unhelpful for applications. However, some such condition is needed: suppose that there were an element of $\mathrm{Br}\, X_\eta$, ramified on X at a divisor having image of codimension ≥ 2 in B. The approach followed in this article would be unable to show that such a Brauer element does not obstruct the Hasse principle. The flatness condition is ultimately used in the proof of [3, Proposition 5.6], which depends on the condition that, for any

closed subset $S \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ of codimension $c \leq 3$, the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(S)$ also has codimension c in X. The theorem therefore remains true under the slightly weaker assumption that π is flat outside a closed subset of codimension ≥ 3 in X.

Remark 1.3. In view of condition (2), there is a short exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Pic} \mathbb{P}^m_{\bar{k}} \to \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X} \to \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}_\eta \to 0$$

of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-modules. (Here $\bar{X}_\eta$ is the generic fibre of $\bar{X} \to \mathbb{P}^m_{\bar{k}}$, not to be confused with $X_{\bar{\eta}}$.) Moreover, the first map is injective, since the pull-back of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^m_{\bar{k}}$ has positive intersection number with a non-vertical curve in X. Looking at the resulting long exact sequence in cohomology shows that conditions (4) and (6) are equivalent to the single condition $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}_\eta) \neq 0$.

One situation in which this condition is not satisfied is in the case of a constant family. If Y is a variety over k having an obstruction to the Hasse principle given by an algebraic Brauer class, then taking $X = Y \times \mathbb{P}^m_k$ gives an example failing this condition and for which the conclusion of the theorem is completely false.

A specific case in which conditions (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied is when X is a non-constant complete intersection of dimension ≥ 3 in $\mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^m \to \mathbb{P}^m$: see [3, Proposition 5.17]. In this case, flatness is automatic as soon as the fibres of X all have the same dimension: see [10, Chapter I, Remarks 2.6].

A theorem of Harari [7] shows that, under the additional assumption that the geometric Brauer group of the generic fibre X_η is trivial, the image of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar{\eta}})$ is the whole of $\operatorname{Br} X_P / \operatorname{Br} k$ for most points P. (Here "most" means that P should lie in a Hilbertian subset of $\mathbb{P}^m(k)$.) This is the case, for example, when X_η is a geometrically rational variety. Combining this with Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, suppose in addition that* $\operatorname{Br} X_{\bar{\eta}}$ *is trivial. Then, for 100% of rational points* $P \in U(k) \cap \pi(X(\mathbf{A}_k))$*, there is no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle on* X_P*.*

The condition that $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ have torsion-free Picard group is a necessary one. Indeed, Bhargava [1] has shown that a positive proportion of plane cubic curves fail the Hasse principle; if one assumes finiteness of Tate–Shafarevich groups, then these failures are all due to a Brauer–Manin obstruction [12, Theorem 6.2.3].

Although Theorem 1.4 says that Brauer–Manin obstructions to the Hasse principle are in some sense "rare", this is strictly in an arithmetic sense and not in a geometric one: Jahnel and Schindler [8] have constructed a set of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4, all having a Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle, that are Zariski-dense in the family of all del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4. (That example does not quite fit into our setting, but only because the base scheme of the family is not a projective space but rather a Grassmannian.)

It is natural to ask whether statements such as Theorem 1.1 should be expected when the base of the family is a variety other than projective space. For example, one could ask what happens when the base is a k-rational variety, and we count points with respect to the height given by an ample divisor. Indeed, the geometric arguments both in this article and in [3] apply in a broader setting. However, the most obvious generalisation of Proposition 4.3 of [3] is no longer true. For a counterexample, take Y to be a rational cubic surface over $\mathbb{Q}$, for example a cubic surface containing two skew rational lines, and take $D \subset Y$ to be a curve not

contained in the union of the 27 lines on Y. Choose a model for X over $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $\mathcal{V}$ be the Zariski closure of D minus the Zariski closure of the 27 lines. The obvious generalisation of [3, Proposition 4.3] would state that 100% of the points of $Y(\mathbb{Q})$ should meet $\mathcal{V}$ at some prime. However, according to the widely-believed conjecture of Manin, the number of rational points on Y of anticanonical height $\leq B$ lying outside the 27 lines is asymptotic to a constant multiple of $B(\log B)^\beta$ for some integer $\beta \geq 0$; whereas the number of points lying on the lines is asymptotic to a constant multiple of B^2. Therefore 100% of the rational points on Y lie on the lines, and such points cannot meet $\mathcal{V}$. The possibility remains open that some form of the result could hold after passing to a dense open subset of the base.

Throughout this article, all cohomology is étale cohomology, and we use the notation $\operatorname{Br} S$ to denote the cohomological Brauer group $\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m})$ of a scheme S. If A is an Abelian group, then $A[n]$ and A/n denote the kernel and cokernel, respectively, of multiplication by n, and $A^\vee$ denotes the dual $\operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. We will often use the fact that, for any scheme S, the natural injection $\mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ identifies $\mathrm{H}^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ with the n-torsion in $\mathrm{H}^1(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

I thank Tim Browning, Daniel Loughran, Bas Edixhoven, Efthymios Sofos and an anonymous referee for their helpful comments and discussions relating to this article.

2. Outline of the proof

Let k be a number field, and let Y be a smooth, proper, geometrically irreducible variety over k. Assume that Y is everywhere locally soluble, that is, $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is non-empty, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Br} Y$ be a class in the Brauer group. A much-used strategy for proving that $\mathcal{A}$ gives no obstruction to the Hasse principle on Y is the following. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ has order n in $\operatorname{Br} Y$, and that there exists a finite place v of k such that the evaluation map $Y(k_v) \to \operatorname{Br} k_v[n]$, sending a point $P \in Y(k_v)$ to the evaluation $\mathcal{A}(P) \in \operatorname{Br} k_v[n]$, is surjective. Then $\mathcal{A}$ gives no obstruction to the Hasse principle. Indeed, any adelic point in $Y(\mathbf{A}_k)$ can be altered at the place v to give an adelic point orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}$.

As stated, this strategy is unsatisfactory because the surjectivity condition can be destroyed by changing $\mathcal{A}$ by a constant algebra, that is, an element of $\operatorname{Br} k \subset \operatorname{Br} Y$. Adding a constant algebra of arbitrarily large order, we can make the order of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\operatorname{Br} Y$ arbitrarily large, yet the image of the evaluation map is simply translated and so stays the same size. To compensate for this phenomenon, we can fix a base point $P \in Y(k_v)$ and consider the modified evaluation map $Y(k_v) \to \operatorname{Br} k_v$ defined by $Q \mapsto \mathcal{A}(Q) - \mathcal{A}(P)$. This evaluation map only depends on $\mathcal{A}$ modulo constant algebras. As before, if the class of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$ has order n and the evaluation map surjects onto $\operatorname{Br} k_v[n]$, one can show that $\mathcal{A}$ gives no obstruction to the Hasse principle.

In this article, we need to generalise the above strategy in two ways: to more than one algebra, and to more than one place of k. The need to understand more than one algebra is clear: if $\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$ is not cyclic, then showing that there is no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle on Y is strictly stronger than showing that no individual element of $\operatorname{Br} Y$ obstructs the Hasse principle. As before, fix a place v of k and a point $P \in Y(k_v)$. We consider the pairing

$$\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k \times Y(k_v) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1}$$

defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}, Q) \mapsto \operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(Q) - \operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(P).$$

In [2, Definition 7.1], a subgroup $A \subset \operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$ was defined to be *prolific* at v if the map $Y(k_v) \to \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ induced by the pairing (2.1) is surjective. One easily proves that, if there exists a place of k where A is prolific, then A gives no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle.

We will also need to generalise this argument to several places of k, as illustrated by the following example. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Br} Y$ is an algebra having order 6 in $\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$. Let v and w be two places of k and fix base points $P_v \in Y(k_v)$ and $P_w \in Y(k_w)$. Suppose that

- the evaluation map $Q_v \mapsto \big(\operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(Q_v) - \operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(P_v)\big)$ maps $Y(k_v)$ onto the subgroup $\{0, \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$; and
- the evaluation map $Q_w \mapsto \big(\operatorname{inv}_w \mathcal{A}(Q_w) - \operatorname{inv}_w \mathcal{A}(P_w)\big)$ maps $Y(k_w)$ onto the subgroup $\{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Then, by choosing points at both places v and w, we can produce any invariant in $(\frac{1}{6}\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}$. As before, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}$ gives no obstruction to the Hasse principle on Y. With this example in mind, we make the following definition.

Let S be a finite set of places of k, and fix a point $P_v \in Y(k_v)$ for each $v \in S$. There is an evaluation pairing

$$(\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k) \times \prod_{v \in S} Y(k_v) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \tag{2.2}$$

defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}, (Q_v)_{v \in S}) \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} (\operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(Q_v) - \operatorname{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(P_v)).$$

The following definition extends that of [2, Definition 7.1].

Definition 2.1. A subgroup A of $\operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$ is *prolific* at S if the map

$$\prod_{v \in S} Y(k_v) \to \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the above pairing is surjective.

The definition is easily seen to be independent of the choice of the P_v. A straightforward extension of [2, Proposition 7.3] shows that, if $A \subset \operatorname{Br} Y/\operatorname{Br} k$ is prolific at any set S of places, then A gives no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points on Y.

To prove Theorem 1.1, then, it suffices to show that 100% of the fibres X_P admit a set S of primes at which the relevant subgroup of $\operatorname{Br} X_P/\operatorname{Br} k$ is prolific. It was shown in [2] that, at least for v sufficiently large, the evaluation map at v corresponding to an element $\mathcal{A}$ of $\operatorname{Br}_1 X_P$ only depends on the residue of $\mathcal{A}$ at v. To control these residues, we use the philosophy of [3], which may be loosely stated as follows. Given an element α of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar{\eta}})$, it has non-zero residues along vertical divisors $D_1, \dots, D_r$ in X. For 100% of $P \in \mathbb{P}^m(k)$, we may specialise α to a class α_P in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}_P) \cong \operatorname{Br}_1 X_P/\operatorname{Br} k$, and there exist places $v_1, \dots, v_r$ of k such that the residues of α_P at $v_1, \dots, v_r$ agree with the residues of α at $D_1, \dots, D_r$. This then determines the evaluation maps of α_P at the places $v_1, \dots, v_r$. Under suitable hypotheses, this technique may be applied to show that the image of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar{\eta}})$ in $\operatorname{Br} X_P/\operatorname{Br} k$ is prolific at $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$.

The reason that Theorem 1.1 does not prove the absence of any Brauer–Manin obstruction on 100% of X_P is that individual X_P may have Brauer group elements other than those obtained by specialising $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$. However, if we further assume that $\operatorname{Br} X_{\bar\eta}$ is trivial, then a result of Harari [7] on specialisation of the algebraic Brauer group states the following: for P lying in a Hilbertian subset of $\mathbb{P}^m(k)$, specialisation gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)$. The hypothesis also implies that $\operatorname{Br} \bar X_P$ vanishes, and so the whole of $\operatorname{Br} X_P$ is algebraic; thus Theorem 1.1 applies to the whole of $\operatorname{Br} X_P$ and shows that there is indeed no Brauer–Manin obstruction on X_P.

In Section 3, we recall the definition and properties of relative residue maps for $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ from [3]. In Section 4, we prove the key technical result (Proposition 4.1) showing that, by choosing P suitably, we can ensure that the specialisation to X_P of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ is prolific. In Section 5, we apply a result of sieve theory from [3] to prove that 100% of $P \in U(k)$ satisfy all the desired conditions, proving Theorem 1.1. In Section 6 we apply Harari's result to show that, for 100% of $P \in \mathbb{P}^m(k)$, the specialisation of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ is the whole of $\operatorname{Br}_1 X_P / \operatorname{Br} k$, thus proving Theorem 1.4.

3. Residue maps

Let Y be a regular scheme, and Z a regular prime divisor on Y. Suppose for now that the residue characteristics of Y are all zero. There are various equivalent ways to define a residue map $\partial_Z \colon \operatorname{Br}(Y \setminus Z) \to \mathrm{H}^1(Z, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Br} Y \to \operatorname{Br}(Y \setminus Z) \xrightarrow{\partial_Z} \mathrm{H}^1(Z, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

One definition is to use the Kummer sequence, which gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Pic}(Y \setminus Z)/n \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y \setminus Z, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \to \operatorname{Br}(Y \setminus Z)[n] \to 0.$$

There is then a residue map, which we will also denote by ∂_Z, from $\mathrm{H}^2(Y \setminus Z, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)$ to $\mathrm{H}^1(Z, \mathbb{Z}/n)$, arising from the Gysin sequence for the pair $Z \subset Y$; see, for example, [2, Section 2] for details. This residue map vanishes on the image of $\operatorname{Pic}(Y \setminus Z)/n$ and so induces a residue map on $\operatorname{Br}(Y \setminus Z)[n]$. Combining these for all n gives the residue map on the torsion group $\operatorname{Br}(Y \setminus Z)$. Without the assumption on the residue characteristics of Y, the same works for any n invertible on Y.

In the situation of Theorem 1.1, let Z be a vertical prime divisor; applying this construction to the local ring of X at Z allows us to construct residue maps $\operatorname{Br} X_\eta \to \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(Z), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. However, we are interested in classes in $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$, and it was shown by Uematsu [14] that the natural map $(\operatorname{Br}_1 X_\eta / \operatorname{Br} K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ is not necessarily surjective. To address this problem, in [3] we defined residue maps on $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ extending those on $\operatorname{Br}_1 X_\eta$. We now briefly recall the definition and properties of those residue maps.

As in the usual case, it is easier to work with finite coefficients. Let n be a positive integer, and suppose that $\operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}$ has no n-torsion. Then the multiplication-by-n map gives a short exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})/n \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}/n) \to \mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n] \to 0.$$

On the other hand, the Kummer sequence on $X_{\bar\eta}$ gives an injective homomorphism $\operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}/n \to \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)$. So, given a class in $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}[n]$, we may lift it to

$\mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}/n)$ and then push forward to $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$. This gives a well-defined homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n] \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))/\mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \tag{3.1}$$

where the right-hand side, by abuse of notation, means the cokernel of the homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ induced by the cycle class map.

To define the new residue maps, we again put ourselves in a slightly more general situation. Let $f\colon Y \to B$ be a morphism of regular schemes; let $D \subset B$ be a regular prime divisor of B, and suppose that $Z = f^{-1}(D)$ is a regular prime divisor on Y. Let n be a positive integer invertible on B. The Gysin sequence gives a map $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_n)_{Y\setminus Z} \to (\mathbb{Z}/n)_Z[-1]$; applying $\mathrm{R}^2 f_*$ and taking global sections produces a homomorphism

$$\rho_D\colon \mathrm{H}^0(B \setminus D, \mathrm{R}^2 f_* \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \to \mathrm{H}^0(D, \mathrm{R}^1 (f_Z)_* \mathbb{Z}/n),$$

called the *relative residue map* at D.

In the situation of Theorem 1.1, let d be a point of dimension 1 in $\mathbb{P}^m_k$, that is, d is the generic point of a prime divisor on $\mathbb{P}^m_k$. Applying the relative residue construction to the local ring at d gives a relative residue map

$$\rho_d\colon \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X^{\mathrm{sm}}_{\bar d}, \mathbb{Z}/n))$$

where $\bar d$ is a geometric point lying above d, and $X^{\mathrm{sm}}_{\bar d}$ is the open subscheme of $X_{\bar d}$ on which π is smooth. Proposition 5.10 of [3] shows that these residue maps fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(B, \mathrm{R}^2\pi_* \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) \xrightarrow{\oplus \rho_d} \bigoplus_{d \in (\mathbb{P}^m_k)^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X^{\mathrm{sm}}_{\bar d}, \mathbb{Z}/n)).$$

It is in proving exactness of this sequence that conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1 are used. Lemma 5.12 of [3] shows that the image of $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ in $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ is contained in the kernel of every ρ_d, so that the homomorphism (3.1) induces for each d a well-defined residue map

$$\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n] \to \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X^{\mathrm{sm}}_{\bar d}, \mathbb{Z}/n)),$$

which we will also denote ρ_d. Corollary 5.16 of [3] states that, under all of the conditions of Theorem 1.1, the direct sum $\oplus_d \rho_d$ of the residue maps for all codimension-1 points $d \in (\mathbb{P}^m_k)^{(1)}$ is injective. It is in proving this injectivity that we use conditions (4), (5) and (6) of Theorem 1.1.

4. Surjectivity of evaluation maps

In this section we prove the main technical ingredient, Proposition 4.1, in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Our Proposition 4.1 is a generalisation of Proposition 4.2 of [3], and its proof follows the structure of the proof found in Section 5.6 of [3]. In this section, there is no need to assume that the base scheme is $\mathbb{P}^m$, so we put ourselves in the following more general situation:

> k is a number field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$. $\pi\colon X \to B$ is a flat, surjective morphism of smooth varieties over k. If K is the function field of B and $\eta\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \to B$ is the generic point, then the generic fibre X_η is smooth, proper and geometrically connected with torsion-free geometric Picard group. The fibre of π at each codimension-1 point of B is geometrically integral. The fibre of

π at each codimension-2 point of B has a geometrically reduced component.

Most of the time we will work with $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$, only later applying the results to $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$. We begin by saying what it means to specialise an element of $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ at a point.

Let $U \subset B$ be the dense open subset above which the fibres of π are smooth. Lemma 5.19 of [3] states that the natural map $\mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathrm{R}^2\pi_*\boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ is an isomorphism. Let P be a point of $U(k)$. Suppose that the k-variety X_P is everywhere locally soluble; then Lemma 5.20 of [3] shows that the natural map

$$\mathrm{H}^2(X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)/\mathrm{H}^2(k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \to \mathrm{H}^0(k, \mathrm{H}^2(\bar X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$$

is an isomorphism. We thus have a sequence of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) \\ \uparrow \\ \mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathrm{R}^2\pi_*\boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^0(k, \mathrm{H}^2(\bar X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) \\ \uparrow \\ \mathrm{H}^2(X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)/\mathrm{H}^2(k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \\ \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Br} X_P[n]/\operatorname{Br} k[n]. \end{array}$$

in which both upward-pointing arrows are isomorphisms, allowing us to define, for a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$, the specialisation $\mathrm{sp}_P(\alpha) \in \operatorname{Br} X_P[n]/\operatorname{Br} k[n]$. Fix a place v of k, and a base point $x_0 \in X_P(k_v)$. Then we define an evaluation pairing

$$\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) \times X_P(k_v) \to \operatorname{Br} k_v[n] \tag{4.1}$$

by

$$(\alpha, x) \mapsto \mathrm{sp}_P(\alpha)(x) - \mathrm{sp}_P(\alpha)(x_0).$$

In the expression above, we are implicitly lifting $\mathrm{sp}_P(\alpha)$ to $\operatorname{Br} X_p[n]$, but the resulting value is independent of the choice of lift. Composing with the local invariant map $\mathrm{inv}_v\colon \operatorname{Br} k_v \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ gives a pairing

$$\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) \times X_P(k_v) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.2}$$

This is simply the pairing obtained by composing the homomorphism sp_P with the pairing (2.1). In both cases, the effect of changing the base point x_0 is to translate the value of the pairing by a constant.

By a *model* of π over $\mathfrak{o}$ we mean an integral scheme $\mathcal{B}$, separated and of finite type over $\mathfrak{o}$, satisfying $\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k = B$, together with an $\mathfrak{o}$-morphism $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$, separated and of finite type, extending π.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A \subset \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ be a finite subgroup, and let $d \in B$ be a point of codimension 1. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a model of π over $\mathfrak{o}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the Zariski closure of d in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there is a dense open subset $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{D}$ with the following property:*

Let P be a point of $U(k)$ such that X_P is everywhere locally soluble, and suppose that the Zariski closure of P in $\mathcal{B}$ meets $\mathcal{V}$ transversely at a closed point s. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be

the prime of $\mathfrak{o}$ *over which* s *lies. Then the image of the map* $X(k_\mathfrak{p}) \to A^\vee$ *defined by the pairing* (4.2) *contains the image of the map* $\mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X_{\bar{d}}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathbb{Z}/n))^\vee \to A^\vee$ *induced by* ρ_d.

Before proceeding to the proof of Proposition 4.1, we establish some auxiliary lemmas.

The first lemma introduces a method of associating a torsor to a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, for a scheme T. In [2, Section 5.3], this was approached by choosing a basis for a subgroup and then taking the fibre product of the corresponding torsors. The following lemma gives a coordinate-free way of achieving the same result. It can be viewed as a generalisation of the fact that $\mathrm{H}^1(T, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is canonically the n-torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* G *be a finitely generated Abelian group.*

(1) For any scheme T*, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(T, G^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{H}^1(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).$$

If T *is connected then, under this isomorphism, the classes in* $\mathrm{H}^1(T, G^\vee)$ *represented by connected torsors correspond to injective homomorphisms* $G \to \mathrm{H}^1(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

(2) For every morphism of schemes $f\colon S \to T$*, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{R}^1 f_* G^\vee \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{Sh}(T)}(G, \mathrm{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Firstly, suppose that T is a connected scheme. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}^1(T, G^\vee) &= \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(T), \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(T), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{H}^1(T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).\end{aligned}$$

It is a standard fact that connected torsors correspond to surjective homomorphisms $\pi_1(T) \to G^\vee$; by duality these correspond to injective homomorphisms $G \to \pi_1(T)^\vee$, proving the statement. If T is not connected, then the isomorphism is obtained by working separately on each connected component.

Now let $f\colon S \to T$ be a morphism. To avoid confusion, let G^p and G^s denote the constant presheaf and sheaf, respectively, on T defined by G. Let H denote the presheaf on $T_{\text{ét}}$ defined by $H(T') = \mathrm{H}^1(S \times_T T', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. The sheaf $\mathrm{R}^1 f_* G^\vee$ is the sheafification of the presheaf F on $T_{\text{ét}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}F(T') &= \mathrm{H}^1(S \times_T T', G^\vee) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{H}^1(S \times_T T', \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \qquad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}(G, H(T')) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PSh}(T')}(G^p|_{T'}, H|_{T'})\end{aligned}$$

the last because the constant presheaf functor is left adjoint to the global sections functor. By definition of the internal Hom in $\mathrm{PSh}(T)$, this says F is equal to $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{PSh}(T)}(G^p, H)$. The natural map from H to its sheafification $\mathrm{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ gives a morphism of presheaves

$$\psi\colon F \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{PSh}(T)}(G^p, \mathrm{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{Sh}(T)}(G^s, \mathrm{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

The target is a sheaf, so by the universal property of sheafification we obtain a natural morphism of sheaves $\phi\colon \mathrm{R}^1 f_* G^\vee \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{Sh}(T)}(G^s, \mathrm{R}^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. To show

that ϕ is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that ψ induces isomorphisms on stalks. As G is finitely generated, taking Homs out of G commutes with taking stalks (see [10, II.3.20]), giving the result. □

The following lemma is a straightforward generalisation of [3, Lemma 5.21], replacing $\mathbb{Z}/n$ by any finite Abelian group. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{o}$, the residue field $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let k be a number field, $\mathfrak{o}$ the ring of integers of k and let $\pi\colon Z \to S$ be a dominant morphism of normal, integral, separated $\mathfrak{o}$-schemes of finite type. Denote by η the generic point of S and $\bar{\eta}$ a geometric point lying over η. Suppose that $Z_{\bar{\eta}}$ is integral. Let G be a finite Abelian group and let γ be a class in $\mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathrm{R}^1\pi_* G)$. For a geometric point $\bar{s} \in S$, let $Y(\bar{s})$ denote the torsor over $Z_{\bar{s}}$ defined by the restriction of γ to $\mathrm{H}^1(Z_{\bar{s}}, G)$. Suppose that $Y(\bar{\eta})$ is connected. Then there are dense open subsets $S' \subset S$ and $U \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{o}$ such that, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ and any $\bar{s} \in S'(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\mathfrak{p})$, any $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$-variety geometrically isomorphic to $Y(\bar{s})$ has an $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$-rational point.*

Proof. As in the proof of [3, Lemma 5.21], we may replace S by an étale $T \to S$ and thereby assume that γ lifts to $\gamma' \in \mathrm{H}^1(Z, G)$. Let $f\colon Y \to Z$ be a torsor representing the class γ'. The scheme Z is normal and integral, and so we are in the situation of [6, Exposé I, Proposition 10.1]: the connected components of Y are in bijection with the connected components of the generic fibre of f. If the generic fibre of f were not connected, then Y_η would also not be connected; but the fibre $Y_{\bar{\eta}}$ is our original $Y(\bar{\eta})$, assumed to be connected. It follows that Y is also connected; since Z is normal, so is Y, and so Y is integral. Also, since $Z_{\bar{\eta}}$ is integral, it follows that $Y_{\bar{\eta}}$ is integral, and so Y_η is split. Now applying [3, Lemma 3.6] to $Y \to S$ gives open sets $S' \subset S$ and $U \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{o}$ with the desired property. □

The way that Lemma 4.3 is used in our proof is to show the surjectivity of certain evaluation maps; we now state that application as a corollary.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of $\mathfrak{o}$ and let s be any point of $S'(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})$. Suppose that Z_s is geometrically integral. (Since Z_η is geometrically integral, this happens for s in a dense open subset of S'.) The Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the sheaf G on Z_s gives an exact sequence as follows:

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, G) \to \mathrm{H}^1(Z_s, G) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{H}^1(Z_{\bar{s}}, G)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, G).$$

Because $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$ has cohomological dimension 0, the group $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, G)$ is trivial. We can therefore obtain a torsor $Y_s \to Z_s$ by specialising γ to obtain an element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{H}^1(Z_{\bar{s}}, G))$ and then lifting to $\mathrm{H}^1(Z_s, G)$. Different choices of lifts will give choices of Y_s differing by twisting by a constant torsor. Over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$, the variety Y_s is isomorphic to the variety $Y(\bar{s})$ of the Lemma.

Corollary 4.4. *Under the conditions of Lemma 4.3, let $\mathfrak{p}$ lie in U and let s be any point of $S'(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})$ such that Z_s is geometrically integral. Let $Y_s \to Z_s$ be a torsor as above. Then the evaluation map $Z_s(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, G)$ associated to the torsor $Y_s \to Z_s$ is surjective.*

Proof. This is a standard twisting argument. A point $z \in Z_s(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})$ evaluates to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, G)$ if and only if the fibre $(Y_s)_z$ has an $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$-rational point, that is, z lies in the image of $Y_s(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}) \to Z_s(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})$. The conclusion of the Lemma ensures that $Y_s(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p})$ is non-empty, and so 0 lies in the image of the evaluation map. Twisting Y_s by any

class in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}, G)$ and applying the same argument shows that the evaluation map is indeed surjective. □

Having established these lemmas, we are now ready to prove the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. As in the proof of [3, Proposition 4.2], we replace $\mathcal{B}$ by an open subset containing both U and d, to ensure that the following conditions hold:

- n is invertible on $\mathcal{B}$;
- $\mathcal{B}$ is regular and flat over $\mathfrak{o}$;
- $\mathcal{D}$ is regular;
- the fibre of $\mathcal{X}$ above every geometric point of $\mathcal{D}$ is integral;
- A is contained in the image of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^2\pi_*\boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$.

Replacing $\mathcal{X}$ by the open subscheme where π is smooth ensures that $\rho_d(A)$ is contained in the image of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^1(\pi_\mathcal{D})_*\mathbb{Z}/n) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X_{\bar{d}}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathbb{Z}/n))$.

Let $P \in U(k)$ be a point such that X_P is everywhere locally soluble. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the Zariski closure of P in $\mathcal{B}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ meets $\mathcal{D}$ transversely at a closed point s. Proposition 5.6 of [3] gives us a commutative diagram as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_d} & \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \\
{\scriptstyle \eta^*}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle d^*}\uparrow \\
\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^2\pi_*\boldsymbol{\mu}_n) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\mathcal{D}} & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^1(\pi_\mathcal{D})_*\mathbb{Z}/n) \\
{\scriptstyle P^*}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle s^*}\downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}^0(k, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar{P}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_s} & \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(s), \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{s}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \\
{\scriptstyle \sim}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \sim}\uparrow \\
\mathrm{H}^2(X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)/\mathrm{H}^2(k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z}/n)/\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(s), \mathbb{Z}/n) \\
\downarrow & & \| \\
\mathrm{Br}\, X_P[n]/\mathrm{Br}\, k[n] & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z}/n)/\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(s), \mathbb{Z}/n)
\end{array}
\tag{4.3}
$$

Here ∂ is the residue map associated to the prime divisor $\mathcal{X}_s$ on the scheme $\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{P}$. If a class α lies in the top left-hand group, then $\mathrm{sp}_P(\alpha)$ is its image in the bottom left-hand group.

Now let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime of $\mathfrak{o}$ over which s lies, and consider evaluating a class in $\mathrm{Br}\, X_P$ at points of $X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})$. Write $\mathbb{F} = \kappa(s) = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$. Since π is no longer assumed to be proper, not all such points extend to $\mathfrak{o}$-points of $\mathcal{X}$; write $X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ$ for the set of those that do. Because π is smooth, Hensel's Lemma shows that the reduction map $X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ \to \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F})$ is surjective. We assume for now that $X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ$ is non-empty; later we will choose P to ensure that this is the case. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ$. Proposition 5.1 of [2] and its corollaries give a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Br}\, X_P[n] \times X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n] \\
{\scriptstyle \partial}\downarrow \quad \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \sim}\downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z}/n) \times \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n).
\end{array}
$$

It follows that the induced map $X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}\, X_P[n], \mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n])$ factors as

$$X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ \to \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z}/n), \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br}\, X_P[n], \mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n]),$$

where the first map is reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, the second sends a point to the evaluation map at that point, and the third is given by pre-composing with ∂ and post-composing with the inverse of the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n] \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$. From this, and from commutativity of (4.3), we see that the map $X(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ \to \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n])$ defined by the pairing (4.1) similarly factors as

$$X_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ \to \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{e_P} \mathrm{Hom}(\rho_d(A), \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n]). \tag{4.4}$$

Here the map e_P can be described as follows. Any β lying in the image $\rho_d(A)$ extends to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^1(\pi_\mathcal{D})_*\mathbb{Z}/n)$ and so can be specialised at s to obtain a class in $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}, \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{s}}, \mathbb{Z}/n))$. Lift this class to $\beta_s \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z}/n)$. Then e_P sends a point $y \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F})$ to the homomorphism $\beta \mapsto (\beta_s(y) - \beta_s(\tilde{x}_0))$, where $\tilde{x}_0$ is the reduction of x_0 modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. This is easily seen to be independent of the lift β_s.

The right-hand two groups in (4.4) are canonically identified with $(\rho_d(A))^\vee$ and $A^\vee$, respectively, by means of the canonical isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p}[n]$ and $\mathrm{Br}\, k_\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. To prove the proposition, we will exhibit a dense open subset $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that, for P as in the statement of the proposition, the image of the composition (4.4) coincides with the image of the rightmost homomorphism. The first map is surjective by Hensel's Lemma, so it suffices to show that e_P is surjective. To accomplish this, we use Lemma 4.2 to pass from a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ to a single torsor under a more complicated group, to which Lemma 4.3 will apply.

Write $B = \rho(A)$. Applying Lemma 4.2 gives

$$\mathrm{Hom}(B, \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, B^\vee).$$

Now take Galois invariants (which commutes with taking Hom from B) to obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}(B, \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, B^\vee)).$$

The inclusion map of B in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$, composed with the natural injection $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, gives an element of the left hand side, and so corresponds to an element γ of the right hand side. This gives a connected torsor $Y_{\bar{d}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\bar{d}}$ under $B^\vee$.

By construction, B lies in the image of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^1(\pi_\mathcal{D})_*\mathbb{Z}/n)$; the functoriality of the isomorphisms of Lemma 4.2 then shows that γ extends to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{R}^1(\pi_\mathcal{D})_* B^\vee)$. The conditions of Lemma 4.3 are satisfied, and we obtain dense open subsets $S' \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $U \subset \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathfrak{o}$ as in that lemma. Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the intersection of S' with the inverse image of U. We will now assume that s lies in $\mathcal{V}$, and prove that e_P is indeed surjective.

Specialising γ at s and choosing a lift to $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s, B^\vee)$ gives a torsor $Y_s \to \mathcal{X}_s$. Corollary 4.4 shows that the evaluation map associated to the torsor $Y_s \to \mathcal{X}_s$ is surjective. (In particular, $\mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F})$ and therefore $\mathcal{X}_P(k_\mathfrak{p})^\circ$ are non-empty, as assumed earlier.) It follows that the map

$$\mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, B^\vee), \quad y \mapsto Y_s(y) - Y_s(\tilde{x}_0), \tag{4.5}$$

which is independent of the choice of lift used to define Y_s, is also surjective. Composing this map with the isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, B^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}(B, \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ of Lemma 4.2 gives a map $\mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(B, \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n))$, where as usual we identify $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ with the n-torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. The functoriality of

Lemma 4.2 shows that this map is none other than e_P. So e_P is indeed surjective, proving the proposition. □

As an immediately corollary, we obtain the following version for multiple d.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $A \subset \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ be a finite subgroup. Let $d_1, \dots, d_r$ be the finitely many distinct points of codimension 1 in B satisfying $\rho_{d_i}(A) \neq 0$. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{D}_i$ be the corresponding dense open set given by the proposition, and shrink the $\mathcal{V}_i$ if necessary so that they are disjoint. Let P be a point of $U(k)$ such that X_P is everywhere locally soluble, and such that the Zariski closure of P in $\mathcal{B}$ meets each $\mathcal{V}_i$ transversely at a closed point s_i. Let $\mathfrak{p}_i$ be the prime of $\mathfrak{o}$ over which s_i lies. Then the image of the map*

$$\prod_i X_P(k_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \to A^\vee$$

defined by the sum of the pairings (4.2) *contains the image of the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{d \in B^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X_{\bar d}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathbb{Z}/n))^\vee \to A^\vee$$

induced by $\bigoplus_d \rho_d$.

We now pass back to $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$. Recall that the homomorphism (3.1) allowed us to pull the relative residue maps ρ_d back to $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n]$. The specialisation map sp_P is also well-defined on $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n]$: indeed, if α lies in the image of $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ then the specialisation of α in $\mathrm{H}^2(X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)/\mathrm{H}^2(k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)$ will lie in the image of $\operatorname{Pic} X_P$, and so will give 0 when mapped into $\operatorname{Br} X_P[n]/\operatorname{Br} k[n]$. So Proposition 4.1 holds if we replace the group $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$ by $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n]$ in the statement.

One difference is that the map $\oplus_d \rho_d$ is never injective on $\mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))$, but it may be injective on $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n]$; indeed, we shall see that this is true in the situation of Theorem 1.1. In that case, the induced map on duals is surjective, and following corollary follows easily from the previous one.

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n]$. Suppose that the homomorphism*

$$A \xrightarrow{\oplus_d \rho_d} \bigoplus_{d \in B^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X_{\bar d}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathbb{Z}/n))$$

is injective. Let $d_1, \dots, d_r$ be the finitely many distinct points of codimension 1 in B occurring in the image. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{D}_i$ be the corresponding dense open set given by the proposition, and shrink the $\mathcal{V}_i$ if necessary so that they are disjoint. Let P be a point of $U(k)$ such that X_P is everywhere locally soluble, and such that the Zariski closure of P in $\mathcal{B}$ meets each $\mathcal{V}_i$ transversely at a closed point s_i. Let $\mathfrak{p}_i$ be the prime of $\mathfrak{o}$ over which s_i lies. Then the group $\mathrm{sp}_P(A) \subset (\operatorname{Br} X_P[n]/\operatorname{Br} k[n])$ is prolific at $S = \{\mathfrak{p}_i : i = 1, \dots, r\}$, and so gives no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points on X_P.

Note that, since the $\mathcal{V}_i$ are disjoint, the points s_i and therefore the primes $\mathfrak{p}_i$ will necessarily all be distinct.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To deduce Theorem 1.1 from Corollary 4.6, we must show that the hypotheses are satisfied when A is the whole of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$, and then show that 100% of points $P \in U(k)$ satisfy the condition required to establish vanishing of the Brauer–Manin obstruction. The conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is vacuously true if $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ is trivial, so assume that this is not the case.

Firstly, the conditions demanded at the beginning of Section 4 do indeed follow from the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. The requirement that $\operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}$ be torsion-free implies in particular $\mathrm{H}^1(X_{\bar\eta}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar\eta}}) = 0$; therefore the Picard group of $X_{\bar\eta}$ is the same as its Néron–Severi group, and so is finitely generated and free. Therefore $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ is finite; fix a positive integer n such that every element of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ has order dividing n. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{P}^m_{\mathfrak{o}}$ be a model of π over $\mathfrak{o}$.

In order to apply Corollary 4.6, we must show that the residue homomorphism

$$\oplus_d \rho_d \colon \mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \to \bigoplus_{d \in (\mathbb{P}^m_k)^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(d), \mathrm{H}^1(X_{\bar d}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathbb{Z}/n))$$

is injective; this is is established in Section 5.4 of [3], specifically in Corollary 5.16. So Corollary 4.6 applies. Let $d_1, \dots, d_r$ be the finitely many codimension-1 points $d \in \mathbb{P}^m_k$ featuring in the right-hand side of the above residue homomorphism. We obtain, for each i, a non-empty open subset $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{D}_i$, where $\mathcal{D}_i$ is the Zariski closure of d_i in $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{P}^m_{\mathfrak{o}}$.

Let T denote the set $U(k) \cap \pi(X(\mathbf{A}_k))$, and let T_i be the set of points $P \in T$ such that the Zariski closure of P in $\mathbb{P}^m_{\mathfrak{o}}$ meets $\mathcal{V}_i$ transversely in at least one point. To prove Theorem 1.1, we must show that $\bigcap_{i=1}^r T_i$ contains 100% of the points of $U(k)$. Proposition 4.3 of [3] states that the number of points of $T \setminus T_i$ of height at most B is $O(B^{m+1}/\log B)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{P \in T : H(P) \le B\} - \#\{P \in \bigcap_{i=1}^r T_i : H(P) \le B\} \\ \le \sum_{i=1}^r \#\{P \in T \setminus T_i : H(P) \le B\} = O\left(\frac{B^{m+1}}{\log B}\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1.3 of [3] states that the number of $P \in \pi(X(\mathbf{A}_X))$ of height at most B is asymptotic to a constant times B^{m+1}. Since U is an open subset in $\mathbb{P}^m$, its complement is closed and therefore thin; then [11, Section 13.1, Theorem 3] shows that the number of points in T of height at most B is also asymptotic to a constant times B^{m+1}. (Note that Serre's height is normalised differently to ours, accounting for the factor of $d = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$ appearing in Serre's formula.) Putting these together completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6. Surjectivity of specialisation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. A subset $H \subset \mathbb{P}^m(k)$ is said to be *Hilbertian* if there is a non-empty Zariski open subset $W \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k$ and a finite étale morphism $Y \to W$, with Y integral, such that H consists of those points $P \in W(k)$ for which the fibre Y_P is connected. In [7], Harari proved a result on specialisation of $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$, which we now adapt to our situation. Recall that $U \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k$ is the open subset over which the fibres of π are smooth.

Lemma 6.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, there exists a Hilbertian subset H of $U(k)$ such that, for each $P \in H$ with X_P everywhere locally soluble, the composition of the homomorphism* (3.1) *with* sp_P *gives an isomorphism of* $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})$ *with* $\operatorname{Br} X_P[n]/\operatorname{Br} k[n]$.

Proof. For $P \in U(k)$, let $\tilde U_P$ be the spectrum of the local ring of U at P, and $\tilde X_P$ the base change of X to $\tilde U_P$. Harari [7, Théorème 3.5.1 et seq.] has shown that there is a Hilbertian subset H of $U(k)$ such that, if P lies in H, then the composition $\operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta} \leftarrow \operatorname{Pic} \tilde X_P \to \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P$ defines a specialisation homomorphism inducing isomorphisms $\operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta} \to \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)$. One checks that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(K, \mathrm{H}^2(X_{\bar\eta}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))/\mathrm{H}^0(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(k, \mathrm{H}^2(\bar X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n))/\mathrm{H}^0(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the right-hand map is as at the beginning of Section 4.

Now suppose that X_P is everywhere locally soluble and consider the following diagram, arising from the Kummer sequence on both X_P and $\bar X_P$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Pic} X_P/n & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Br} X_P[n] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P/n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(k, \mathrm{H}^2(\bar X_P, \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(k, \operatorname{Br} \bar X_P)[n] & & \end{array}$$

Because X_P is everywhere locally soluble we have $\mathrm{H}^0(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P) = \operatorname{Pic} X_P$. Also, $\operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P$ is torsion-free, and so looking at the multiplication-by-n map on $\operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P$ shows that f is injective with cokernel $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)[n]$. Next, [3, Lemma 5.20] shows that g is surjective, with kernel $\operatorname{Br} k[n]$. We have $\operatorname{Br} \bar X_P = 0$, as can be found, for example, in the proof of the above theorem of Harari. So the Snake Lemma gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Br} k[n] \to \operatorname{Br} X_P[n] \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)[n] \to 0$$

and looking at the definition of δ shows that the composite isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1(K, \operatorname{Pic} X_{\bar\eta})[n] \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)[n] \xrightarrow{\delta^{-1}} \operatorname{Br} X_P[n]/\operatorname{Br} k[n]$$

is precisely the isomorphism claimed. □

Remark 6.2. Presumably the map δ in the above proof is the same as that induced by the homomorphism $\operatorname{Br}_1 X_P \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar X_P)$ in the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, but we have not verified this.

A Hilbertian subset of $U(k)$ is the complement of a thin set, by [11, Section 9.2, Proposition 2]. By [11, Section 13.1, Theorem 3], H contains 100% of the points of $U(k)$, and Theorem 1.4 follows.

References

[1] M. Bhargava. A positive proportion of plane cubics fail the Hasse principle. arXiv:1402.1131.

[2] M. J. Bright. Bad reduction of the Brauer–Manin obstruction. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 91(3):643–666, 2015.

[3] M. J. Bright, T. D. Browning, and D. Loughran. Failure of weak approximation in families. *Compositio Math.*, 152(07):1435–1475, 2016.

[4] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène. L'arithmétique des variétés rationnelles. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 1(3):295–336, 1992.
[5] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Kanevsky, and J.-J. Sansuc. Arithmétique des surfaces cubiques diagonales. In *Diophantine approximation and transcendence theory (Bonn, 1985)*, volume 1290 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–108. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
[6] A. Grothendieck. *Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 1: Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental*. Number 224 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
[7] D. Harari. Méthode des fibrations et obstruction de Manin. *Duke Math. J.*, 75(1):221–260, 1994.
[8] J. Jahnel and D. Schindler. del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 violating the Hasse principle are Zariski dense in the moduli scheme. arXiv:1411.2397.
[9] Yu. I. Manin. Le groupe de Brauer–Grothendieck en géométrie diophantienne. In *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1*, pages 401–411. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971.
[10] J. S. Milne. *Etale Cohomology*. Number 33 in Princeton mathematical series. Princeton University Press, 1980.
[11] J.-P. Serre. *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*. Aspects of Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, third edition, 1997. Translated from the French and edited by Martin Brown from notes by Michel Waldschmidt, With a foreword by Brown and Serre.
[12] A. Skorobogatov. *Torsors and rational points*, volume 144 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
[13] A. N. Skorobogatov. Beyond the Manin obstruction. *Invent. Math.*, 135(2):399–424, 1999.
[14] T. Uematsu. On the Brauer group of diagonal cubic surfaces. *Q. J. Math.*, 65(2):677–701, 2014.

Mathematisch Instituut, Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, Netherlands
E-mail address: m.j.bright@math.leidenuniv.nl